**Dating abuse via technology:** 

The prevalence and correlates of breaking into a partner's cell phone or email among an emergency department-based sample of youth

> Emily F. Rothman, ScD Boston University School of Public Health

> > March 2012

## Agenda

- Determine the prevalence of breaking into a dating partner's cell phone or email "tech abuse" among a sample of youth
- 2. Correlates of "tech abuse"
- 3. Implications/discussion

# Background

### 2011 Teens and Digital Citizenship Survey (N=799; 12-17 year olds)

- 77% of youth have a cell phone
- 75% of teens use phones to text daily
- Teens send an average of 60 texts per day
  - 6% email
  - 26% talk on the phone daily
  - 6% of US teens use location-based services on their cell phones (e.g., Foursquare)

http://pewinternet.org/Reports/2012/Teens-and-smartphones.aspx

### Prior research on "tech abuse"

• East Carolina University

- Burke, Wallen, Vail-Smith & Knox (2011)

- 804 undergraduates
- 62% response rate
- large southeastern university
- 18 to 23 (*M* = 19.12) years
- 77% of the sample self identified as White race

Burke, Wallen, Vail-Smith & Knox (2011)	% who reported behavior on time	e or more	B
	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	Chi-sq.
Checking call histories	65%	41%	.000
Checking email histories	34%	14%	.000
Monitoring partner's Facebook site	58%	41%	.000
Using partner's passwords to monitor him/her	23%	7%	.000

# Consistent with findings on gender and <u>Obsessive Relationship Intrusion</u>

- "there are few meaningful sex differences in being the target of ORI"
  - Cupach and Spitzberg, 2001
  - Langhinrichsen-Rohling et al., 2000
  - Logan et al., 2000
  - McFarlane et al., 2000
  - Spitzberg et al., 1998

Sptizberg & Hoobler (2002)

# Stalking in general (lifetime)

Study	Male %	Female %
Tjaden & Thoennes, 1998	2%	8%
Tjaden & Thoennes, 2000	4%	12%
Fisher et al., 2000 (college women)		13%
NISVS, 2011	5%	16%

### Methods

### Sample

#### N=465

pediatric emergency department patients (July 2009--June 2010) 56% female

#### SES:

50% of patients are uninsured / Medicaid

#### Age:

14 and 21 years old (mean age: 19.2 yrs; 92% were 17-21 yrs)

#### Race:

54% were Black 15% Hispanic 15% White 13% multiracial



		10 or more times	4 to 9 times	1 to 3 times	Never
1	Scratched me	0	0	0	0
2	Slapped me	0	0	0	0
3	Physically twisted my arm	0	0	0	0
4	Slammed me or held me against a wall	0	0	0	0
5	Kicked me	0	0	0	0
6	Bent my fingers	0	0	0	0
7	Bit me	0	0	0	0
8	Tried to choke me	0	0	0	0
9	Pushed, grabbed or shoved me	0	0	0	0
10	Caused me to get an injury, or to require medical attention	0	0	0	0
11	Threw something at me that hit me	0	0	0	0
12	Forced me to have sex	0	0	0	0
13	Forced me to do other sexual things that I did not want to do	0	0	0	0
14	Burned me	0	0	0	0
15	Hit me with a fist	0	0	0	0
16	Hit me with something hard besides a fist	0	0	0	0
17	Beat me up	0	0	0	0
18	Assaulted me with a knife or gun	0	0	0	0
19	Threatened to kill me	0	0	0	0
20	Said that they would die, or kill themselves, if we broke up	0	0	0	0
21	Broke into my email or cell phone	0	0	0	0
22	Spread nasty rumors about me	0	0	0	0
23	Made me feel afraid	0	0	0	0
24	Gave me alcohol or drugs in order to take advantage of me	0	0	0	0

		10 or more times	4 to 9 times	1 to 3 times	Never
1	Scratched me	0	0	0	0
2	Slapped me	0	0	0	0
3	Physically twisted my arm	0	0	0	0
4	Slammed me or held me against a wall	0	0	0	0
5	Kicked me	0	0	0	0
6	Bent my fingers	0	0	0	0
7	Bit me	0	0	0	0
8	Tried to choke me	0	0	0	0
9	Pushed, grabbed or shoved me	0	0	0	0
10	Caused me to get an injury, or to require medical attention	0	0	0	0
11	Threw something at me that hit me	0	0	0	0
12	Forced me to have sex	0	0	0	0
13	Forced me to do other sexual things that I did not want to do	0	0	0	0
14	Burned me	0	0	0	0
15	Hit me with a fist	0	0	0	0
16	Hit me with something hard besides a fist	0	0	0	0
17	Beat me up	0	0	0	0
18	Assaulted me with a knife or gun	0	0	0	0
19	Threatened to kill me	0	0	0	0
20	Said that they would die, or kill themselves, if we broke up	0	0	0	0
21	Broke into my email or cell phone	0	0	0	0
22	Spread nasty rumors about me	0	0	0	0
23	Made me feel afraid	0	0	0	0
24	Gave me alcohol or drugs in order to take advantage of me	0	0	0	0



				_
Descriptive characteristics	All	Perpetrated	Experienced	
	participants	technology abuse	technology abuse	
	n	%(n)	%(n)	_
Total	465	25 (116)	37 (171)	
Sex				
Male	203	22 (45)	37 (74)	
Female	262	27 (71)	37 (97)	
Education				
High school dropout	66	30 (20)	53 (35)	**
High school student	117	21 (25)	31 (36)	
High school graduate	253	27 (69)	37 (94)	
Parental status				
Has children	85	27 (23)	54 (45)	***
Race				
Black	251	27 (67)	38 (94)	
Hispanic	70	26 (18)	36 (71)	
White	71	20 (14)	31 (22)	
Multiracial	59	78 (45)	44 (26)	
Asian	6	50 (3)	0(0)	
	M(SD)	M(SD)	M(SD)	-
Age	19.1 (1.7)	19.2 (1.5)	19.4 (1.5)	-
Number of partners in past year	3.69 (6.33)	3.75 (3.94)	4.40 (8.42)	
* p<.05, ** p<.01, *** p<.001				-

### Table 1 Descriptive characteristics of participants (N=465)

### Table 2. Past year technology abuse experiences

Descriptive characteristics	Perpetrated technology abuse	Experienced technology abuse
	%(n) or M(SD)	%(n) or M(SD)
Total	100 (116)	100 (171)
Alcohol*		
Occurred while drunk / partner was drunk	16 (19)	30 (37)
Occurred while high / partner was high on drugs	19 (22)	26 (32)
Occurred while sober	71 (83)	66 (112)
Number of tech abuse experiences		
(among those with any)		
1 to 3 times	78 (91)	72 (123)
4 to 9 times	11 (13)	15 (25)
10 or more times	10 (12)	13 (23)
* response options overlap		

		All	Ν	Vales	F	emales
	Tech abuse perpetrator		Tech abuse perpetrator		Tech abuse perpetrator	
Type of dating violence	%(n)	PR (95% CI)	%(n)	PR (95% CI)	%(n)	PR (95% CI)
Total	100 (116)		100 (45)		100 (71)	
Physical violence (any)						
Aggressed	73 (75)	1.95 (1.64-2.32)***	62 (28)	2.29 (1.62-3.22)***	80 (57)	1.74 (1.44-2.11)***
Victimized	81 (94)	1.61 (1.40-1.85)***	84 (38)	1.67 (1.37-2.03)***	79 (56)	1.59 (1.32-1.91)***
Minor physical violence						
Aggressed	72 (83)	2.10 (1.75-2.54)***	60 (27)	2.67 (1.83-3.90)***	79 (56)	1.80 (1.47-2.21)***
Victimized	78 (91)	1.74 (1.50-2.02)***	80 (36)	1.78 (1.42-2.24)***	77 (55)	1.92 (1.47-2.52)***
Moderate physical violence		. ,				
Aggressed	48 (56)	2.77 (2.06-3.73)***	40 (18)	3.28 (1.89-5.71)***	54 (38)	2.45 (1.74-3.47)***
Victimized	59 (68)	1.92 (1.54-2.40)***	51 (23)	1.85 (1.26-2.72)**	63 (45)	1.92 (1.47-2.52)***
Severe physical violence						•
Aggressed	44 (51)	2.80 (2.03-3.86)***	27 (12)	4.16 (1.92-8.99)***	55 (39)	2.35 (1.68-3.28)***
Victimized	49 (57)	2.09 (1.60-2.72)***	49 (22)	2.82 (1.79-4.45)***	49 (35)	1.72 (1.24-2.38)**
Sexual violence				. ,	. ,	. ,
Aggressed	9 (10)	4.94 (1.84-13.30)**	4 (2)	1.76 (0.33-9.28)	11 (8)	7.17 (1.96-26.29)**
Victimized	22 (26)	2.27 (1.42-3.61)**	18 (8)	1.56 (0.73-3.35)	25 (18)	2.85 (1.56-5.21)***

Table 3. Prevalence of various past year dating abuse perpetration experiences among those who reported perpetrating technology abuse, stratified by gender (N=116)

\* p<.05, \*\*p<.01, \*\*\*p<.001

### Results

- 12% of the physically non-violent youth had perpetrated tech abuse
- 14% of the youth who had experienced no physical dating abuse had experienced tech abuse
- 0% of youth had experienced ONLY tech abuse (and not also psychological abuse); 0% perpetrated ONLY tech abuse (and not also psychological abuse)

### Implications

- Breaking into a partner's cell phone and/or email account is a prevalent form of adolescent dating violence behavior
- It is associated with physical and sexual adolescent dating violence perpetration

### Implications

 Youth who are already more vulnerable, that is, have less education, have their own children, or have had more sexual partners, appear to be at increased risk

# Implications

- Youth need to know that this is an illegal behavior
- Youth need to know that this is an abusive behavior
- Youth need to know where to get help
- Practitioners and health care providers need to know how to combat this problem and how to refer youth to helping resources

### Acknowledgements

Cassandra Abueg Kelley Adams Brandy Carlson Lindsay Cloutier Abigail Isaacson Sheila Kelly Joanna Khalil Andrea Lenco Alexis Marbach Lucy Stelzner Care providers in the pediatric emergency department.

This research was supported in part by National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism grants K01AA017630 awarded to Emily F. Rothman and K24AA019707 and Gregory Stuart.